

REMARKS

The Final Office Action issued by the Examiner on December 21, 2007 and the citations referred to in the Office Action have been carefully considered. Claims 1-30 and 61-90 have been previously cancelled. Claims 31-60 are now pending in the application.

Claim Objections

In the Office Action it was noted that claim 41 is an apparatus claim, whereas dependent claims 42-50 refer to claim 41 as a method claim. Claims 42-50 have been amended to properly refer to base independent claim 41 appropriately. These amendments have not been made to overcome prior art. This objection should now be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner has rejected Claims 51-60 for being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicant has amended claim 51 to specifically recite a tangible program carrier. This excludes waves. Thus, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner has rejected Claims 31-60 for being anticipated by Dwek, however, Dwek does not teach all of the limitations set forth in Applicants' Claims.


In regards to Claim 31, the Examiner, on page 4, line 11 of the Office Action, alleges that Dwek teaches "a user of a client computer connected ... to multiple other computers," but the Figure in Dwek referred to by the Examiner shows a network where a single server is connected to multiple other client computers, NOT the other way around, i.e., the user of a client computer connected to multiple data stores and computers as described in the Applicants independent Claim 31. This element of the Applicants Claims is clearly not taught by Dwek and thus independent Claim 31 and all subsequent claims that depend on Claim 31 are seen to be patentably distinguishable from Dwek.

In regard to Claim 41, this claim also has been amended to specifically recite that the client computer is connected to multiple data stores and other computers. Dwek only shows a single server that contains the data store from which client computers can retrieve data. Thus amended claim 41 is believed to be distinguished from Dwek. Therefore the rejection of claims 41-49 should now be withdrawn.

Claims 31-60 remain pending in the application. This amendment is believed to be responsive to all points in the Office Action. Should matters remain which the Examiner believes could be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the Applicant's undersigned attorney at the (303) 685-7460.

The Director is authorized to charge \$1050.00 for a three-month extension of time as well as the fee for an RCE that accompanies this paper. The Director is authorized to charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s), or to credit any overpayments to **Deposit Account Number 50-2638**. Please ensure that Attorney Docket Number 054317-048500 is referred to when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Respectfully submitted,



John R. Wahl
Reg. No. 33,044

Date: June 20, 2008

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Phone: (310) 586-7700
Fax: (310) 586-7800
E-mail: laipmail@gtlaw.com